

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			. www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMPINAL
10/064,128	06/13/2002	Claude Scher	GEMS0160	CONFIRMATION NO.
27256 759	05/17/2004		OEMS0100	3222
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH RD.			EXAMINER CHARIOUI, MOHAMED	
SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD,	MI 48034	* .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	1005 (2857	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Offic Action Summary	10/064,128	SCHER ET AL.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examin r	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this	Mohamed Charioui	2857
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appeared for Reply	pears on th c ver sheet with	the c rrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep by within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (SIX NOV).	NTH(S) FROM ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely.
Status	* .	, may reduce any
1) Responsive to communication (a) file is		
2a) This peties is Figure 2a.		
This action is FINAL. 2h)	action is non-fin-1	••
Since this application is in condition for allower	ICO except for formal	S. Drosecution on to the
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1 453 O C 242
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100 O.G. 213.
	•	<i>.</i>
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.	. 10	· . · ·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration	***
is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.		
- (-) E ioraro objected (U.		*
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exemiser		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepting acceptance.	otod or b)□ akis	ne Examiner.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the drawing are subjection to the drawing are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers	oted or b) objected to by the	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception acception acception to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accept accept accept and any not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the order accept acce	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the drawing are subjection to the drawing are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception acception to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction acception to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction acception. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Off	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accept accept accept accept accept accept accept accept and accept acce	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Off	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the order and the correction of the corre	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Official in the initial initi	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and all b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents in the priority	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiality under 35 U.S.C. § 119	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f).
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the order drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and order of the priority documents in the correction of the correction of the priority documents in the correction of the correction of the priority documents in the correcti	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f).
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and all b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents in Copies of the certified copies of the priority.	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officionity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f).
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application for possible priority application for possible priority application for possibl	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application for possible priority application for possible priority application for possibl	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and all b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents in Copies of the certified copies of the priority.	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application from the International Bureau (for possible priority application for possible priority application for possible priority application for possible priority application for possibl	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign probable and all b) Some * c) None of: 1	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property 12) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he 2. Certified copies of the priority documents he 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Figure 1) *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the gripping application from the International Bureau (Figure 2) Comment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO 202)	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officionity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Application of the actified copies not received the certified copies not received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officionity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Application of the cuments have been received. PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign probable and all b) Some * c) None of: 1	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. In is required if the drawing(s) is miner. Note the attached Officionity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Application of the cuments have been received. PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received in Application of the certified copies not received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 recites the same limitations as claim 7, therefore it does not further limits claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schleiss et al. (U.S. 6,298,454)

As per claims 1, 7, 8, 16 and 17, Schleiss et al. teach a computer controller coupled to the data acquisition system (see col. 3, lines 15-29); a display device coupled to the computer controller (see col. 4, lines 40-56); the controller receiving data from the data acquisition system, diagnosing a problem in response to the data (see col. 6, line 51 to col. 7, line 9), the controller generating a screen display corresponding to an architectural representation of the data acquisition system (see col. 3, lines 40-51),

Art Unit: 2857

the controller generating a screen indicia on the display device corresponding to a location of a problem on the schematic representation of the data acquisition system (see col. 3, lines 50-64).

As per claim 2, Schleiss et al. further teach that data is stored in a memory (see col. 6, lines 51-58); and wherein the data is communicated from the data acquisition system (see col. 6, lines 51-58 and Fig. 2).

As per claims 3-5, Schleiss et al. further teach a network coupling the computer controller and the data acquisition system (se col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 9).

As per claim 6, Schleiss et al. further teach that the controller has a web browser, the controller generating the screen indicia through the web browser (see col. 16, lines 4-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-14 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schleiss et al. in view of Taguchi et al. (U.S. 5,807,256).

Schleiss et al. teach the system as stated above except that the data acquisition system is disposed with the computed tomography system.

Taguchi et al. teach this feature (see col. 12, line 60 to col. 13, line 7; Fig. 1; and col. 16, lines 41-67; and col. 12, lines 13-40). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 2857

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Taguchi et al.'s teaching into Schleiss et al.'s invention because the computed tomography system would acquire data for processing. Therefore, diagnostics and interpretation of data would be performed.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schleiss et al. in view of Howards Korritzinsky et al. (U.S. 6,598,011).

Schleiss et al. teach the system as stated above except that the controller has a web browser.

Howards Korritzinsky et al. teach this feature (see col. 9, lines 1-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Howards Korritzinsky et al.'s teaching into Schleiss et al.'s invention, because it would connects the controller to a browser. Therefore, diagnostic data would be viewed remotely and/or instantly via browser and pre-processing and ordering diagnostic data from archives would be obviated.

Prior art

5. The prior art made record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Crawford et al. ['113] disclose apparatus and method for processing object data in computed tomography data using object projections.

Schubert ['438] discloses dual acquisition imaging method and apparatus.

Rothschild et al. ['703] disclose medical image management system and method.

R spons to Argum nts

Art Unit: 2857

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/5/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Schleiss reference does not teach that the controller generates screen indicia on the display device corresponding to the location of the problem on the schematic representation of the data acquisition system.

Examiner sees that this feature is taught in this passage and also it is taught in greater details in (col. 17, line 57 to col. 18, line 3 and Fig. 5). Therefore, Examiner maintains the rejection.

Contact information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohamed Charioui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2213. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2857

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohamed charioui

5/3/04

MARC S. HOFF V SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800